arXiv:1407.8321v1 [hep-ph] 31 Jul 2014

The Slow Gravitino

Karim Benakli[1,2,a], Luc Darmé[1,2,b] and Yaron Oz[3,c]

[1] *Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06,UMR 7589, LPTHE, F-75005, Paris, France*
[2] *CNRS, UMR 7589, LPTHE, F-75005, Paris, France*
[3] *Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy Tel-Aviv University, Ramat-Aviv 69978, Israel*

Abstract

When the supersymmetry breaking sector is a fluid background, Lorentz invariance is broken spontaneously. The super-Higgs mechanism leads to a gravitino Lagrangian with Lorentz symmetry violating terms. We analyse the resulting field equations and constraints. We identify the physical spin 3/2 and spin 1/2 helicity states, derive their equations of motion and construct the propagator. The violation of Lorentz symmetry implies that the longitudinal mode has a non-relativistic dispersion relation, whose speed is lower than the speed of light. We briefly comment on possible implications to gravitino cosmology and phenomenology.

[a] kbenakli@lpthe.jussieu.fr
[b] darme@lpthe.jussieu.fr
[c] yaronoz@post.tau.ac.il

Contents

1 Introduction and summary of the results **2**

2 Constraints and equations of motion **6**
2.1 The constraints equations 6
2.2 Identification of the spin-3/2 degrees of freedom 7
2.3 The equations of motion 10

3 The covariant spin–3/2 propagator **11**

4 Discussion **14**

Appendices **16**

A Super-Higgs mechanism in a fluid **16**

B Explicit decomposition of a spin-3/2 in helicity-operator eigenstates **17**

C Computation of the covariant propagator **19**

1 Introduction and summary of the results

The gravitino is massless in Minkowski space-time when supersymmetry is preserved, and acquires a mass when it is spontaneously broken [1, 2]. Supersymmetry breaking is necessary for a theory to be relevant to the real world, making its study being of much importance.

In the global supersymmetry limit, the breaking is associated with a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value of the Hamiltonian. Lorentz invariance implies that kinetic terms do not contribute. Promoting supersymmetry to be local, the contribution of the scalar potential to vacuum energy can be cancelled by the presence of an additional cosmological constant of opposite sign. The latter term breaks explicitly supersymmetry unless it comes along with a mass term for the gravitino, whose size is related to the scalar potential expectation value [2]. One ends up finally with a massive gravitino in a space-time with a vanishing cosmological constant.

When supersymmetry is broken by the presence of a fluid, the kinetic energy is not necessarily vanishing allowing Lorentz symmetry violation. This scenario has been considered in [3], and the aim of this work is to study this generalisation in more detail.

For a perfect fluid at rest, the breaking of boost invariance implies that the longitudinal and transverse modes of the gravitino satisfy different dispersion relations. The longitudinal mode inherits its dispersion relation from that of the fluid goldstino [4, 5] and is non-relativistic. The transverse mode has the same mass but has a relativistic dispersion relation [3]. At high energies compared to the gravitino mass but well below the energy scale of the fluid, the gravitino interactions with matter

fields are mainly via its longitudinal mode [1, 6]. The latter propagates at a speed $\frac{p}{\rho}$, where p and ρ are the the fluid pressure and energy density respectively. This speed is in general lower than the speed of light when the fluid stress-energy tensor satisfies the appropriate energy conditions. We therefore call it "slow gravitino".

In [3], the gravitino mass term has been constructed in terms of the fluid pressure and energy density for an arbitrary perfect fluid stress-energy tensor. However, the field equations were derived only for stress-energy tensors that are constant or slowly varying only with time. In this work, we will generalise those results at quadratic order to a general space-time dependent perfect fluid.

There are various relevant scales and it is of importance to outline the approximations that will be made. First, there are the scales associated with the fluid. The characteristic temperature scale $\mathcal{T}$ corresponds to the mean free path or correlation length of the fluid microscopic degrees of freedom. L is the length scale over which the macroscopic fluid variables, i.e. the energy density, pressure and fluid velocity, vary. In order for the hydrodynamics approximation to be valid one requires $\frac{1}{TL} \ll 1$.

Second, there is the energy scale of the propagating gravitino E and the (reduced) Planck mass M_p. $\mathcal{T}$ is also the supersymmetry breaking scale and we take $\mathcal{T} \ll M_p$. We require the gravitino energy to be smaller than the supersymmetry breaking scale, $E \ll \mathcal{T}$, in order to be able to keep only the goldstino interactions. Finally, we will require the gravitino wavelength to be smaller than the fluid scale of changes in the macroscopic variables, $\frac{1}{EL} \ll 1$. This is required in order to be able to consider the gravitino as a localised particle with well defined helicity states.

Motivated by the implication of a vanishing mass super-traces for the spectrum of phenomenological models, one usually assumes that supersymmetry is broken in a new sector of the theory, hidden or secluded, and then transmitted to our visible sector by mediator fields through gravitational or gauge interactions. In this work, the fluid under consideration will describe the hidden or secluded sector, and the scale $\mathcal{T}$ is the supersymmetry breaking scale, which should not be confused with a temperature of our visible sector.

Fluid variables, scales and approximations

We shall consider a gravitino propagating in a ideal fluid background specified by the energy density, pressure and velocity vector u^μ normalised as $u^\mu u_\mu = -1$. The fluid variables are arbitrary slowly varying functions of the space-time coordinates. The fluid stress-energy tensor reads

$$T_{\mu\nu} = [p\eta_{\mu\nu} + (\rho + p)u_\mu u_\nu] \ . \tag{1.1}$$

We will use the equation of state

$$w = \frac{p}{\rho} \ . \tag{1.2}$$

For $w \neq -1$ both supersymmetry and invariance under Lorentz boosts are spontaneously broken. The Lorentz invariant cases with F or D term breaking correspond to a cosmological constant i.e. $w = -1$. In the flat space-time limit approximation,

the super-Higgs mechanism leads to a gravitino mass [3]

$$m = \frac{\sqrt{3\rho}}{4M_P} \, |\frac{1}{3} - w| \ . \tag{1.3}$$

Note that, as discussed above, the gravitino mass is introduced in order to supersymmetrise the term that cancels the contribution of $\eta^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}$ to the vacuum energy. Therefore, one expects the mass to vanish when the trace of the stress-energy tensor vanish. This is indeed the case in (1.3).

Using this explicit expression, imposing our last assumption $\frac{1}{EL} \ll 1$ for gravitinos with energy of the order of their mass implies that

$$\frac{\mathcal{T}^2 L}{M_p} \gg 1 \ . \tag{1.4}$$

In this approximation, we can neglect all derivatives of the fluid variables compared to the momentum or the mass of the gravitino. We will work in this approximation.

In our Lagrangian, we will trade the fluid variables ρ, p and use

$$m, \qquad \epsilon_{\rm LV} \equiv 1 + w, \qquad u^\mu \ , \tag{1.5}$$

where m is the mass (1.3), and $\epsilon_{\rm LV}$ is a dimensionless number that measures the size of violation of Lorentz boost invariance. The Lorentz invariant solution corresponds to $w = -1$.

The fluid velocity is a time-like vector. We can define at every point in space-time two projectors $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{t}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}^{\mu\nu} &\equiv \eta^{\mu\nu} + u^\mu u^\nu \\ \mathbf{t}^{\mu\nu} &\equiv (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r})^{\mu\nu} = -u^\mu u^\nu \ . \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

$\mathbf{t}$ projects along u^μ , i.e. in the time-like direction defined by the fluid velocity, while $\mathbf{r}$ projects on the vector space orthogonal to u^μ, i.e. on the spatial vector space defined by the fluid.

In general, the fluid velocity does not define a foliation of space-time, unless the fluid is irrotational. However, in the approximation (1.4) the twist $v_{[\mu}\partial_\nu u_{\rho]}$ can be neglected. We can then use wave-functions of the form $\psi^\mu \propto e^{ip^\mu x_\mu}$ with p^μ being functions of the space-time coordinates, but their derivatives are neglected. We will call these wave functions plane-waves. This will allow us to define helicitiy eigenstates and construct the corresponding propagator.

It is practical to work with the spatial and temporal components of the gamma matrices γ^μ and the momentum p^μ, defined via the projectors $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{t}$. They are constructed as

$$\begin{aligned} r^\mu &= \mathbf{t}^{\mu\nu}\gamma_\nu & \qquad k^\mu &= \mathbf{r}^{\mu\nu}p_\nu \\ t^\mu &= \mathbf{r}^{\mu\nu}\gamma_\nu & \qquad q^\mu &= \mathbf{t}^{\mu\nu}p_\nu \ . \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

r^μ and t^μ behave as γ^i and γ^0. They satisfy the relations $r^\mu r_\mu = -3$, $t^\mu t_\mu = -1$ and $t^\mu r^\nu = -r^\nu t^\mu$.

Summary of the results

The Lagrangian describing the gravitino field takes the form:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_\mu\Big[(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \eta^{\mu\nu})(-i\partial\!\!\!/ + m) + i\gamma^\nu\partial^\mu - i\gamma^\mu\partial^\nu + \frac{3\epsilon_{\rm LV} m}{4 - 3\epsilon_{\rm LV}}(r^\mu t^\nu + t^\mu r^\nu)\Big]\psi_\nu \ . \tag{1.8}$$

In (1.8) one identifies the first term with the usual Rarita-Schwinger Lagrangian [7] and the term proportional to $\epsilon_{\rm LV}$ as the correction due to violation of Lorentz invariance. This expression is not singular for $\epsilon_{\rm LV} = 4/3$ because this corresponds to the traceless energy-momentum tensor case where $m = 0$.

For general fluid variables, we will decompose ψ_μ (see (2.22)) into four spinors. They correspond to the helicity-$\frac{3}{2}$ states $\psi^\mu_{3/2}$ and helicity-$\frac{1}{2}$ states $\psi_{1/2}$, and two remaining spinors that are projected out by the constraints. Albeit $\psi^\mu_{3/2}$ has a vector index which suggests that it describes four spinors, these can be explicitly seen not to be independent in (2.9) and in fact it only contains two degrees of freedom corresponding to the helicities $\pm 3/2$ as expected from the overall counting.

In the approximation where the derivatives of the fluid variables can be neglected (1.4), the motion of the gravitino in a general fluid background can be presented in a similar way to its motion in a constant fluid background. The difference being that we will use the time and space directions defined by the fluid instead of that of the laboratory frame. The field equations take the form

$$\begin{aligned}(-it^\rho\partial_\rho - ir^\rho\partial_\rho + m)\psi^\mu_{3/2} &= 0 \ , \\ (-it^\rho\partial_\rho + iwr^\rho\partial_\rho + m)\psi_{1/2} &= 0 \ .\end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

These equations of motion need to be supplemented with two constraints that project out the extra not-spin-3/2 degrees of freedom from ψ^μ. They read

$$-\,i\left[r^\mu r^\nu + \mathbf{r}^{\mu\nu}\right]\partial_\mu\psi_\nu = nr^\rho\psi_\rho \ , \tag{1.10}$$

and

$$(wr^\nu - t^\nu)\psi_\nu = 0 \ , \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$n \ \equiv \ \frac{m}{1 - \frac{3}{4}\epsilon_{\rm LV}} \ . \tag{1.12}$$

We find that the covariant propagator can be written as

$$G^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{3/2}}{p^2 + m^2} + \frac{\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{1/2}}{w^2k^2 + q^2 + m^2} + \frac{3}{4}\epsilon_{\rm LV}\frac{k\!\!\!/}{mk^2}(t^\mu k^\nu - k^\mu t^\nu) \ . \tag{1.13}$$

From this form one can see that the two parts corresponding to the helicity-3/2 and helicity-1/2 components of the spinor-vector have different poles, thus different dispersion relations. The quantities $\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{3/2}$ and $\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{1/2}$ are the corresponding polarisations.

Plan of the paper

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we will derive the constraints. They remove the non-spin-3/2 states that are present in the original product of a vector and a spinor representations of the Lorentz group. Using the explicit form of these constraints will allow us to decompose the gravitino field into its transverse and longitudinal modes. We will then derive the equations of motion for these modes and write the corresponding Lagrangian. In section 3 we will derive the propagator and compare it with the usual Rarita-Schwinger one [8]. In the discussion section we will outline possible implications to gravitino cosmology and phenomenology. Useful definitions, properties of projectors and details of calculations are give in the appendices to ease the reading, while keeping the paper self-contained.

2 Constraints and equations of motion

Lorentz invariance is spontaneously broken in the fluid background, however the notion of a state with spin quantum number (3/2 here) is still well defined. A spin-3/2 field can be built starting from a product of spin-1/2 and spin-1 states and is denoted as a fermion field carrying a vector index ψ^μ. This is a reducible representation of the rotation group. Constraints have to be used in order to extract the physical spin-3/2 degrees of freedom. We present here the main aspects of such a construction of a theory of spin-3/2 fields in a fluid background. Additional details are provided in the appendices.

2.1 The constraints equations

We shall derive here two constraints that enable to reduce ψ_μ to its four degrees of freedom describing a massive spin-3/2 state. The equation of motion for ψ_μ, obtained from the Lagrangian (1.8) is:

$$K^{\mu\nu}\psi_\nu = 0 \ , \tag{2.1}$$

with

$$K^{\mu\nu} \ \equiv \ (\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \eta^{\mu\nu})(-i\partial\!\!\!/ + m) + i\gamma^\nu\partial^\mu - i\gamma^\mu\partial^\nu + (n-m)(r^\mu t^\nu + t^\mu r^\nu) \ . \tag{2.2}$$

In the Rarita-Schwinger case, a first constraint is obtained by noting that the Lagrangian is linear in ψ_0, which therefore behaves as a Lagrange multiplier (see for example [9]). The Euler-Lagrange equation for ψ^0 gives the time component of the equation of motion. This is used as a constraint as it contains no time-derivative.

In the fluid background case, we identify the time direction as the one given by the fluid four-velocity u^μ. We should therefore contract the equation of motion by u^μ to obtain the "zeroth-component". For calculation purposes we contract instead by $t^\mu = -u\!\!\!/ u^\mu$ and use $\mathbf{t}^{\mu\nu} + t^\mu t^\nu = 0$ to obtain (1.10), which indeed does not contain any time derivative (in the fluid frame).

Another constraint is obtained by contracting the equations of motion with $\mathcal{D}_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu + E_\mu$ with

$$E_\mu = -\frac{i}{2}n[\gamma_\mu - \frac{3}{2}\epsilon_{\rm LV}t_\mu] \ . \tag{2.3}$$

Using the form (A.11) of $K^{\mu\nu}$, the contraction gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\mu K^{\mu\nu}\psi_\nu &= -i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\mathcal{D}_\mu\gamma^5\gamma_\rho\mathcal{D}_\sigma\psi_\nu \\ &= -i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\gamma^5\gamma_\rho E_\mu E_\sigma\psi_\nu \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

and after some algebra, this constraint reduces to

$$T^{\mu\nu}\gamma_\mu\psi_\nu = 0 \ , \tag{2.5}$$

where replacing $T^{\mu\nu}$ by its expression and dividing by the energy density ρ leads to (1.11).

For a fluid at rest, (2.5) reads

$$\gamma^0\psi_0 = -(1-\epsilon_{\rm LV})\gamma^i\psi_i \ . \tag{2.6}$$

When taking $\epsilon_{\rm LV} = 0$, we recover the usual Rarita-Schwinger constraint:

$$\gamma^\mu\psi_\mu = 0 \ . \tag{2.7}$$

To summarise, we have exhibited two constraints (1.10) and (1.11) projecting out two spin-1/2 states. It is useful to note the similarities (up to derivatives terms) of our constraints with those obtained for the case of a gravitino in a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) space in [10].

2.2 Identification of the spin-3/2 degrees of freedom

We will now use the above constraints to identify the four degrees of freedom of our spin-3/2 state and write them as two transverse (helicity-3/2) and two longitudinal (helicity-1/2) modes.

We first focus on the case where the fluid parameters are constant and work in the frame defined by the fluid background. In the last part of this section, we will generalise the result for an arbitrary fluid where both translation and rotational invariance are lost but with the extra assumption (1.4) implying that we can neglect derivatives in the hydrodynamics parameters.

In the constant fluid rest frame, the three-dimensional space is invariant under rotational and translation symmetries therefore both spin and helicity quantum numbers are well defined. We start with representations of the Lorentz group but, as the boosts transformations are no more symmetries, we will work with representations of the rotations symmetry group i.e. spin representations. The left-handed spinor-vector representation of the Lorentz group (written as an $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ representation) can be decomposed into spin representations as

$$(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})\otimes(\frac{1}{2},0) = \frac{1}{2}\oplus(1\otimes\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}\oplus\frac{1}{2}\oplus\frac{3}{2} \ . \tag{2.8}$$

The l.h.s. expresses ψ^μ as a tensorial product of a vector times a spinor while the last expression is a spin decomposition that can be written explicitly as a linear

combination of normalised spin eigenstates. Using the Clebsch-Gordon decomposition this leads to:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^\mu \;=\;& \quad \epsilon_0'^{\,\mu}\ \tilde{a}_1\xi'_- + \epsilon_0'^{\,\mu}\ a_1\xi'_+ \\ &+\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\epsilon_0{}^\mu(\tilde{a}_2\xi_- - a_2\xi_+) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\epsilon_+^\mu\ a_2\xi_- - \epsilon_-^\mu\ \tilde{a}_2\xi_+) \\ &+\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\ \epsilon_0{}^\mu(\tilde{a}_3\xi_- + a_3\xi_+) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\epsilon_+^\mu\ a_3\xi_- + \epsilon_-^\mu\ \tilde{a}_3\xi_+) \\ &+\ \epsilon_-^\mu\ \tilde{a}_4\xi_- + \epsilon_+^\mu\ a_4\xi_+\ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

The two first lines correspond the extra spin-1/2 representations that need to be projected out of the spectrum. The third line is the helicity $\pm 1/2$ part, while the last line is the helicity $\pm 3/2$ part of the spin-3/2 representation of interest. The coefficients a_i and $\tilde{a}_i$ parametrise the decomposition as function of the product of polarisation vectors ϵ_i^μ and spinors ξ_i and ξ'_i. The indices of the latter vectors and spinors give their respective helicity eigenvalues in a self-explanatory way. The physical degrees of freedom must satisfy both constraints (1.10) and (1.11).

It is convenient to introduce a spinor that describes the longitudinal degrees of freedom of our spin-3/2 field. This is achieved by defining $\psi_{1/2}$ from our explicit construction (2.9) as

$$\psi_{1/2}\ \equiv\ \frac{n}{w|p|}(a_3\xi_+ + \tilde{a}_3\xi_-)\ , \tag{2.10}$$

where $|p| = \sqrt{-p^2}$. The overall coefficient ensures that $\psi_{1/2}$ has the canonically normalised kinetic term for a Majorana spinor. We shall show below that this can be written as

$$\psi_{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\frac{n}{k}\gamma_0\gamma^i\psi_i\ . \tag{2.11}$$

We consider now the case of a generic fluid under the assumption (1.4). It is possible to find the corresponding form of $\psi_{1/2}$ either from the requirement that the constraints are satisfied or through an explicit construction. We shall use the former.

In order to identify among the physical spin-1/2, we consider an operator Π_μ that satisfies the constraints (1.10) and (1.11) written as:

$$\begin{array}{ll} C_1^\mu\Pi_\mu = 0 & \qquad\qquad C_1^\mu = wr^\mu - t^\mu \\ C_2^\mu\Pi_\mu = 0 & \text{with} \qquad C_2^\mu = (k^\mu + \not{k}r^\mu) - nr^\mu\ . \end{array} \tag{2.12}$$

Such Π_μ is given by

$$\Pi^\mu = (r^\mu - 3\frac{\not{k}k^\mu}{k^2}) - \frac{2}{n}k^\mu - \frac{2w}{n}\not{k}t^\mu\ , \tag{2.13}$$

and we will also define a conjugate operator as $\bar{\Pi}^\mu$ (note the change of sign of the last term)

$$\bar{\Pi}^\mu = (r^\mu - 3\frac{\not{k}k^\mu}{k^2}) - \frac{2}{n}k^\mu + \frac{2w}{n}\not{k}t^\mu\ , \tag{2.14}$$

Solutions of the constraints can then be obtained through projection by the operator $P_{1/2}$ (note that $P_{1/2}P_{1/2} = P_{1/2}$) defined by

$$P^{\mu\nu}_{1/2} = \Pi^\mu(\bar{\Pi}^\rho\Pi_\rho)^{-1}\bar{\Pi}^\nu \ . \tag{2.15}$$

Using the constraint (1.11) we can write

$$\psi_{1/2}{}^\mu \ \equiv \ P^{\mu\nu}_{1/2}\psi_\nu = \frac{\Pi^\mu}{2}\frac{n\not{k}}{k^2}\ r^\rho\psi_\rho \ , \tag{2.16}$$

which define the helicity-1/2 part $\psi_{1/2}{}^\mu$ of ψ^μ. We can write a corresponding spinor with the same degrees of freedom, a canonically normalised kinetic term in the Lagrangian, but without vector indices. It is obtained by contraction with u_μ:

$$\psi_{1/2} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\frac{n}{wk}u_\mu P^{\mu\nu}_{1/2}\psi_\nu = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\frac{n}{k}\not{u}\ r^\rho\psi_\rho \ , \tag{2.17}$$

and describes the longitudinal modes of the gravitino. Note that in the rest frame we recover (2.11).

The helicity $\pm 3/2$ degrees of freedom can be identified as the remaining modes of ψ^μ after removing all three independent spin-1/2 states of the vector-spinor state. Such spinors can be constructed by applying on ψ^μ the three orthogonal projectors $\mathcal{P}_{ii}$:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\mu\nu}_{ii} = \frac{\tilde{\pi}^\mu_i\tilde{\pi}^\nu_i}{\tilde{\pi}^2_i} \ , \tag{2.18}$$

where $\tilde{\pi}^\mu_1$, $\tilde{\pi}^\mu_2$ and $\tilde{\pi}^\mu_3$ are orthogonal operators defined such that $\tilde{\pi}^\mu_i\tilde{\pi}_{j,\mu} = 0$ if $i \neq j$ by:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\pi}^\mu_1 &= t^\mu \\ \tilde{\pi}^\mu_2 &= r^\mu \\ \tilde{\pi}^\mu_3 &= r^\mu - 3\frac{\not{k}k^\mu}{k^2} \ .\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Note that Π_μ can be expressed as a linear combination of these. The corresponding projector $\mathcal{P}_{3/2}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{3/2} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\mu\nu}_{33} - \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\mu\nu}_{22} - \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\mu\nu}_{11} \ , \tag{2.20}$$

and $\psi^\mu_{3/2} \ \equiv \ P^{\mu\nu}_{3/2}\psi_\nu$ corresponds to the transverse degrees of freedom. This can be expressed as

$$\psi^\mu_{3/2} = \psi^\mu + \frac{1}{3}r^\mu r^\nu\psi_\nu + t^\mu t^\nu\psi_\nu + \frac{1}{6}(r^\mu - 3\frac{\not{k}k^\mu}{k^2})(r^\nu - 3\frac{\not{k}k^\nu}{k^2})\psi_\nu \ . \tag{2.21}$$

Using the fact that $r_\mu\psi^\mu_{3/2} = t_\mu\psi^\mu_{3/2} = k_\mu\psi^\mu_{3/2} = 0$, it is easy to check that $\psi^\mu_{3/2}$ satisfies the constraints (1.10) and (1.11) and also that $P^{\mu\nu}_{1/2}\psi_{\,3/2\ \nu} = 0$. We chose to keep the vector indices to remind of its spin-3/2 nature.

To summarise, in the space of solutions of the constraints (1.10) and (1.11), we have the decomposition

$$\psi^\mu = \psi^\mu_{3/2} + \Pi^\mu \frac{\not{k}\not{\psi}}{\sqrt{6}k}\psi_{1/2} = \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{3/2}\psi_\nu + \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{1/2}\psi_\nu \ , \tag{2.22}$$

where the two terms corresponds to the transverse and longitudinal modes of the spin-3/2 field.

While for the fluid at rest the helicity was defined as the projection on the globally defined direction corresponding to the space component of the particle momentum, the definition is more involved in the case of fluid not at rest as, in general, plane waves are no longer solutions of the equation of motion. However, helicity can be defined under the assumption (1.4).

In the rest frame the helicity operator is defined as:

$$\mathcal{S} \ = \ \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}S^{ij}\partial^k \ , \tag{2.23}$$

where the $S^{\nu\rho}$ are the Lorentz generators for the spin-3/2 representation. This generalises to

$$\mathcal{S} \ \equiv \ \frac{1}{2}u^\mu\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}S^{\nu\rho}\mathbf{r}^{\sigma\gamma}\partial_\gamma \ , \tag{2.24}$$

as $\mathbf{r}^{\sigma\gamma}\partial_\gamma$ reduces to the space derivatives in the fluid rest frame. In a space-time varying fluid but with the assumption (1.4), locally we can treat the eigenstates wave-functions as plane waves. As a consequence, the above decomposition can be carried over and locally ${\psi_{1/2}}^\mu$ and ${\psi_{3/2}}^\mu$ appear as helicity eigenstates with eigenvalues respectively $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ and $\pm\frac{3}{2}$.

2.3 The equations of motion

In this section we will derive the equation of motions (1.9) for the fields $\psi_{1/2}$ and $\psi^\mu_{3/2}$ corresponding to the longitudinal and transverse modes of the massive spin-3/2 state as defined above. We neglect the derivatives in the fluid parameters according to (1.4).

The equation of motion for ψ^μ derived from the Lagrangian are given in (2.1). In order to extract those for the $\psi_{1/2}$ and $\psi^\mu_{3/2}$, it is useful to use the identity

$$[i\gamma^\nu\partial^\mu - i\gamma^\mu\partial^\nu]\psi_\nu = [t^\mu r^\nu + r^\mu t^\nu](i\not{\partial} - n)\psi_\nu - \bar{C}^\mu_2\gamma^\nu\psi_\nu \ , \tag{2.25}$$

where $\bar{C}^\mu_2$ is given by:

$$\bar{C}^\mu_2 = k^\mu + r^\mu\not{k} - nr^\mu \ . \tag{2.26}$$

Plugging (2.25) in (2.1) leads to

$$\left[(r^\mu r^\nu + \mathbf{r}^{\mu\nu})(-i\not{\partial} + m) + \bar{C}^\mu_2\gamma^\nu\right]\psi_\nu = 0 \ , \tag{2.27}$$

which will be used to derive the equations of motion for both helicities 1/2 and 3/2.

We first focus on the helicity-1/2 degrees of freedom. We can get rid of the term proportional to $\bar{C}_2^\mu$ by contracting (2.27) with $\bar{\Pi}^\mu$ defined in (2.14). Two parts of the equations are obtained through splitting the derivative in the l.h.s. to the time-like and space-like parts. We consider plane waves solutions. A bit of algebra allows to rewrite the space-like part, along with the mass term, as

$$\bar{\Pi}_\mu(r^\mu r^\nu + \mathbf{r}^{\mu\nu})(\not{k} + m)\psi_\nu = \sqrt{6}\frac{\not{k}\not{v}}{k}(v\not{k} - m)\psi_{1/2} \ . \tag{2.28}$$

On the other side, the time-like part, using the decomposition (2.22) , can be expressed as

$$\bar{\Pi}_\mu(r^\mu r^\nu + \mathbf{r}^{\mu\nu})\not{q}\psi_\nu = -\sqrt{6}\frac{\not{k}\not{v}}{k}\not{q}\psi_{1/2} \ . \tag{2.29}$$

Putting back both parts together leads to the equation of motion for the longitudinal mode:

$$(-it^\rho\partial_\rho + iwr^\rho\partial_\rho + im)\psi_{1/2} = 0 \ . \tag{2.30}$$

In order to derive the equation of motion for the transverse degrees of freedom, we act on (2.27) with the operator $\mathcal{P}_{3/2}$ to obtain

$$(\not{q} + \not{k} + m)\psi_{3/2}{}^\mu = 0 \ , \tag{2.31}$$

which can be written:

$$(-it^\rho\partial_\rho - ir^\rho\partial_\rho \ + m)\psi_{3/2}{}^\mu = 0 \ . \tag{2.32}$$

An interesting consequence of these equations of motion is that helicity-1/2 and 3/2 cannot be on-shell simultaneously when $\epsilon_{\rm LV} \neq 0$.

The equations of motion (1.9) derived above can also be obtained from the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} \ = \ \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_{\ 3/2\ \mu}(-i\gamma^\rho\partial_\rho + m)\psi_{3/2}{}^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_{1/2}(-it^\rho\partial_\rho + iwr^\rho\partial_\rho + m)\psi_{1/2} \ , \tag{2.33}$$

where we verify that the factors in the definition of $\psi_{1/2}$ were necessary for obtaining a canonically normalised kinetic term. The two spinors have obviously different dispersion relations. The hermiticity of the Lagrangian requires that derivatives of the fluid parameters are neglected as we assumed in (1.4).

3 The covariant spin–3/2 propagator

In this section we aim at calculating the propagator of ψ_μ without using the on-shell constraints. In order to work in the Fourier space and obtain an explicit form of the propagator, we need to consider a constant fluid background or more generally work in the approximation (1.4) where on can neglect derivatives in the fluid variables.

The calculations are long and tedious. We review here the main lines and present many details in the Appendix C. Our strategy will consist of writing the Lagrangian

in a basis of projectors adapted to the degrees of freedom of our problem. It is convenient to use as basis the π_i^μ, $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1^\mu &= p^\mu \\
\pi_2^\mu &= \not{k}(t^\mu - \frac{\not{q} p^\mu}{p^2}) \\
\pi_3^\mu &= r^\mu - \frac{\not{k} k^\mu}{k^2} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

The Lagrangian involves the quantities p^μ, γ^μ, r^μ and t^μ that can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
p^\mu &= \pi_1^\mu \\
\gamma^\mu &= \frac{\not{p}}{p^2}\pi_1^\mu - \frac{\not{p}}{k^2}\pi_2^\mu + \pi_3^\mu \\
r^\mu &= \frac{\not{k}}{p^2}\pi_1^\mu - \frac{\not{q}}{k^2}\pi_2^\mu + \pi_3^\mu \\
t^\mu &= \frac{\not{q}}{p^2}\pi_1^\mu - \frac{\not{k}}{k^2}\pi_2^\mu \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

We can define three projectors $\mathcal{P}_{i,i}$ as

$$\mathcal{P}_{i,i}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\pi_i^\mu \pi_i^\nu}{\pi_i^2} \ , \tag{3.3}$$

and supplement them by nilpotent operators $\mathcal{P}_{i,j}$ with $i \neq j$ defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_{i,j}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\pi_i^\mu \pi_j^\nu}{\pi_i^2 \pi_j^2} \ , \tag{3.4}$$

where $\pi_i^2 = \pi_i^\mu \pi_{i,\mu}$. We then define similarly $\mathcal{P}_{3/2}$ orthogonal to all the other projectors by

$$\mathcal{P}_{3/2}^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{P}_{33}^{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{P}_{22}^{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{P}_{11}^{\mu\nu} \ , \tag{3.5}$$

and we have checked this is the same projector as the one defined in equation (2.20). The normalisation has been chosen to be similar for $\mathcal{P}_{i,j}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{j,i}$, a choice which helps making all the expression explicitly symmetric but slightly complicates the algebra of these operators. This allows to write the quadratic operator $K^{\mu\nu}$ as

$$K^{\mu\nu} = (\not{p} + m)(\mathcal{P}_{3/2}^{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{P}_{33}^{\mu\nu}) - U(\mathcal{P}_{13}^{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{P}_{31}^{\mu\nu}) + V(\mathcal{P}_{23}^{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{P}_{32}^{\mu\nu}) - W(\mathcal{P}_{12}^{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{P}_{21}^{\mu\nu}) \ , \tag{3.6}$$

with

$$W = nk^2 \tag{3.7}$$

$$U = 2(\not{k} m + \not{q} n) \tag{3.8}$$

$$V = 2\frac{k^2}{p^2}(p^2 - m\not{q} - n\not{k}) \ . \tag{3.9}$$

The propagator $G^{\mu\nu}$ is decomposed in the projector basis as

$$G^{\mu\nu} = \frac{m - \not{p}}{m^2 + p^2}\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{3/2} + A\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{11} + B\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{22} + C\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{33} + D\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{13} + D'\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{31} \tag{3.10}$$

$$a + E\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{23} + E'\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{32} + F\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{12} + F'\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{21}\ , \tag{3.11}$$

where $A = A_1 + \not{k}A_2 + \not{q}A_3$ and similarly for all other coefficients. We look for a solution of the equation defining the propagator in momentum space:

$$K_{\mu\rho}G^{\rho\nu} = \eta_\mu{}^\nu \tag{3.12}$$

The result can be expressed as:

$$G^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{3/2}}{p^2 + m^2} + \frac{\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{1/2}}{w^2k^2 + q^2 + m^2} + \frac{3}{4}\epsilon_{\rm LV}\frac{\not{k}}{mk^2}(t^\mu k^\nu - k^\mu t^\nu)\ . \tag{3.13}$$

where the two polarisations take the form

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{3/2} = (m - \not{p})\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{3/2}\ , \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{1/2} = -\frac{2}{3}\ \Lambda^\mu\ (\not{p} - \epsilon_{\rm LV}\not{k} + m)\ \Lambda^\nu\ , \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$\Lambda^\mu = \gamma^\mu + \frac{p^\mu}{n} - \frac{3}{2}(r^\mu - \frac{\not{k}k^\mu}{k^2}) - \frac{3}{4}\epsilon_{\rm LV}t^\mu\ , \tag{3.16}$$

Note that we recover again that the part corresponding to the spin-1/2 components of the spinor-vector has a pole for $m^2 + w^2k^2 + q^2 = 0$ due to a different dispersion relation. A crucial observation is that the nominator of the helicity-1/2 poles indeed projects on the physical degrees of freedom. More precisely one can show that

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{1/2}\psi_\mu = \Pi^{\mu\nu}_{1/2}\psi_{\ 1/2\ \mu}\ . \tag{3.17}$$

The modification of the Rarita-Schwinger propagator due to Lorentz symmetry breaking appears both in the spin-3/2 and 1/2 contributions. When $\epsilon_{\rm LV} = 0$, we recover the usual Rarita-Schwinger formula [8].

We finally consider the limit of high momentum where we have the hierarchy

$$m\ \ll\ |p|\ \ll\ \mathcal{T}\ , \tag{3.18}$$

the propagator then simplifies to

$$G^{\mu\nu} \to -\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{3/2}\frac{\not{p}}{p^2} - \frac{2}{3}\frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{n^2}\frac{\not{q} - w\not{k}}{q^2 + w^2k^2}\ . \tag{3.19}$$

4 Discussion

Two main tasks have been achieved in this paper. First, we have studied the propagation of a gravitino in a fluid background responsible of the breaking of supersymmetry. We exhibited a form of the Lagrangian where the Lorentz symmetry breaking terms are isolated explicitly making it easy to compare to the well known Rarita-Schwinger case. The spin-3/2 propagating degrees of freedom have been identified and the constraints needed to remove the other field components have been derived. The splitting of the degrees of freedom has been carried on in the case of a generic fluid where where the energy density, the pressure and the fluid velocity are slowly varying functions of space-time coordinates so that we can neglect fluid derivatives. This generalises the results of [3].

A second main result is the corresponding explicit formula for the propagator. This is a prerequisite if one wants to compute amplitudes in covariant form where the spin-3/2 is involved. As an aside, we have introduced a set of projectors, quantities and notations which seems to us helpful to carry on similar computations.

We end the paper by some comments on possible phenomenological implications. First, note that if the fluid background responsible of the breaking of supersymmetry is to be identified with our visible universe it has to be at the very early stages of its evolution. At later time, temperature is too low to explain the experimentally required size of supersymmetry breaking while the induced Lorentz violation will be too large. At early time, our discussion should be extended to curved background which should be straightforward.

We therefore consider, as stated in the introduction, that the fluid under discussion describes a hidden sector gravitationally coupled to our universe. An important question is then if in such a case the induced Lorentz violation is small enough to be allowed today, or whether it should be restricted to early cosmological times. A definite answer to this question requires computing this effect in a given model. We can nevertheless discuss it qualitatively. Because the hidden sector fluid is only coupled gravitationally to the visible sector, the induced Lorentz violation for Standard Model fields must go to zero when M_P is taken to be infinite. We parametrise the shift in the effective speed of light in visible sector dispersion relations as $(\frac{\mathcal{T}^2}{M_P^2})^\alpha \epsilon_{\rm LV} \sim \frac{m^\alpha}{M_P^\alpha}\epsilon_{\rm LV}$. This is constrained to not exceed about $\frac{\Delta c}{c} \approx 10^{-22}$ [11]. It translates to a bound on a combination of $\epsilon_{\rm LV}$ and m. Taking as an example a reference value of $m = 1$ TeV shows that an $\epsilon_{\rm LV} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ is allowed for a value of $\alpha = 3/2$, which is not unreasonable. The Planck suppression and supersymmetry could then account for a small Lorentz violation in our visible world.

When discussing gravitino interactions, one can consider two energy regimes. For $E < m$ all modes of the gravitino couple with similar Planck suppressed strength while for $E > m$ the coupling of the helicity 1/2 mode is enhanced, only suppressed by $\mathcal{T}^{-2}$. This is important for early universe history as one usually assumes that gravitinos can be very energetic and their interactions approximated by those of the longitudinal mode. However, the violation of Lorentz invariance has also an important consequence for this mode as it has been pointed out in [11]. At high energies, when the mass terms can be neglected, the longitudinal mode of the gravitino could be stable because it can decay only to particles with higher effective speed of light. The

usual analysis of the effects of gravitino in cosmology would need to be reconsidered.

Finally, we would like to stress that while our work often refers to the gravitino, the Lagrangian might also describe the propagation of other (composite) spin-3/2 state in a Lorentz symmetry violating background.

Acknowledgments

Y.O. would like to thank the LPTHE and ILP for their hospitality. This work was supported in part by French state funds managed by the ANR Within the Investissements d'Avenir programme under reference ANR-11-IDEX-0004-02 and in part by the ERC Higgs@LHC. The work of Y.O. is supported in part by the I-CORE program of Planning and Budgeting Committee (grant number 1937/12), and by the US-Israel Binational Science Foundation.

Appendices

A Super-Higgs mechanism in a fluid

We start by a brief review of some of the results obtained in [3]. These will be recast in the form that will be used for the computations described in the paper.

We consider a supersymmetric fluid with stress-energy tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$. The presence of a goldstino associated with the spontaneous breaking of supersymmetry is expected from the Ward-Takahashi identity. This Majorana state has been named phonino [4] and satisfies the equation of motion:

$$T^{\mu\nu}\gamma_\mu\partial_\nu G = 0 \ . \tag{A.1}$$

which can be derived from the Lagrangian where we neglect derivatives of fluid variables:

$$\mathcal{L}_G = -\frac{i}{2\mathcal{T}^4}T^{\mu\nu}\bar{G}\gamma_\mu\partial_\nu G \ . \tag{A.2}$$

Here, $\mathcal{T} \neq 0$ can be chosen to be $\mathcal{T} = |\operatorname{Tr} T^{\mu\nu}|^{\frac{1}{4}}$ or $\mathcal{T} = |\det T^{\mu\nu}|^{\frac{1}{16}}$ and has dimension of mass. Note that for $T^{\mu\nu} = -|F|^2\eta^{\mu\nu}$, the Lagrangian (A.2) reduces to that of the usual goldstino.

Within the hypothesis of working in the flat Minkowski space-time limit, we will study the Lagrangian describing the system phonino-gravitino at the quadratic order and keep the lowest order of an expansion in powers of the dimensionless parameter $\frac{\mathcal{T}}{M_p}$. It reads:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} \ = \ & -\frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\bar{\psi}_\mu\gamma^5\gamma_\nu\partial_\rho\psi_\sigma - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}n_{\sigma\lambda}\bar{\psi}_\mu\gamma^5\gamma_\rho\gamma^\lambda\psi_\nu - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\mathcal{T}^2}{M_P}\frac{T^{\mu\nu}}{\mathcal{T}^4}\bar{\psi}_\mu\gamma_\nu G \\ & + i\frac{T^{\mu\nu}}{2\mathcal{T}^4}\bar{G}\gamma_\mu\partial_\nu G + \frac{1}{4}\frac{T^{\mu\nu}n_{\mu\nu}}{\mathcal{T}^4}\bar{G}G \ .\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

This Lagrangian is invariant under the supersymmetry transformations with Lorentz violating coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}\delta G &= \sqrt{2}\mathcal{T}^2\varepsilon \ , \\ \delta\psi_\mu &= -M_P(2\partial_\mu\varepsilon + in_{\mu\nu}\gamma^\nu\bar{\varepsilon}) \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

if $n_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}\epsilon_\rho{}^{\lambda\gamma\kappa}n_{\nu\lambda}n_{\sigma\gamma} = \frac{T^{\mu\kappa}}{M_P^2} \ . \tag{A.5}$$

In the unitary gauge, G is set to zero through the supersymmetry transformation:

$$\psi_\mu \to \psi_\mu + \frac{\sqrt{2}M_P}{\mathcal{T}^2}\partial_\mu G + i\frac{M_P}{\sqrt{2}\mathcal{T}^2}n_{\mu\nu}\gamma^\nu\bar{G} \, . \tag{A.6}$$

For multiple fluids without interactions among them, one should only replace $T^{\mu\nu}$ by the sum $\sum_i T_i^{\mu\nu}$.

The above expression of the gravitino quadratic term did not assume a perfect fluid or another specific form, but rests on the assumption of existence of a goldstino with the appropriate dispersion relation. An explicit dependence of the mass on the fluid parameters can be obtained for a perfect fluid with a four-velocity u^μ such that $u_\mu u^\mu = -1$

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \rho \left[w\eta_{\mu\nu} + (1+w)u_\mu u_\nu \right] \ . \tag{A.7}$$

This expectation value of the stress-energy tensor breaks spontaneously both supersymmetry and Lorentz symmetry.

After choosing the unitary gauge, the Lagrangian (A.3) takes the form (1.8)

$$\mathcal{L} \ = \ \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_\mu K^{\mu\nu}\psi_\nu \ , \tag{A.8}$$

where $K^{\mu\nu}$ can be split into a kinetic and mass term

$$K^{\mu\nu} = -i(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \eta^{\mu\nu})\partial\!\!\!/ + i\gamma^\nu\partial^\mu - i\gamma^\mu\partial^\nu + K_m^{\mu\nu} \ , \tag{A.9}$$

with

$$K_m^{\mu\nu} = m\left[\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \eta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{3\epsilon_{\rm LV}}{4-3\epsilon_{\rm LV}}(r^\mu t^\nu + t^\mu r^\nu)\right] \ . \tag{A.10}$$

It will be useful to note that it can also be written as

$$K^{\mu\nu} = -i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\gamma^5\gamma_\rho\mathcal{D}_\sigma \ , \tag{A.11}$$

where we use a derivative operator defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_\sigma = \partial_\sigma - \frac{i}{2}n\left[\mathbf{r}_{\sigma\lambda} + (1-\frac{3}{2}\epsilon_{\rm LV})\mathbf{t}_{\sigma\lambda}\right]\gamma^\lambda \ . \tag{A.12}$$

The mass m is obtained from plugging (A.7) in (A.5). This leads to a second degree equation. We arbitrarily choose the root leading to a positive mass

$$m = \frac{\sqrt{3\rho}}{4M_P}\ |\frac{1}{3} - w| \ . \tag{A.13}$$

Notice that for $\epsilon_{\rm LV} = 0$ this expression is equal to the Hubble parameter of an FRW metric that would be generated by having $T^{\mu\nu}$ on the r.h.s of Einstein equations.

B Explicit decomposition of a spin-3/2 in helicity-operator eigenstates

We review in this section the explicit decomposition of a spin-3/2 particle in the case of a fluid at rest. We follow the Wess and Bägger notations in considering $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1,+1,+1,+1)$ and the gamma matrices in the Weyl basis such that

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^0 \\ \sigma^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \gamma^5 = \gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} -i\mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & i\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{B.1}$$

with the anti-commutation relation $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = -2\eta^{\mu\nu}$. With these conventions $\tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}$ and $S^{\mu\nu}$ defined by

$$\tilde{S}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{-i}{4}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu) \ , \tag{B.2}$$

and

$$(S^{\mu\nu})^n_m = i(\delta^\mu_m\eta^{n\nu} - \delta^\nu_m\eta^{n\mu}) \ , \tag{B.3}$$

form a representation of the Lorentz group on the spinor and vector space respectively. The rotation generators on the spinor-vector representation are the $J_i = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}(\tilde{S}^{jk} + S^{jk})$, where ϵ_{ijk} is the fully antisymmetric tensor such that $\epsilon_{123} = 1$.

In particular, considering a momentum $p^\mu = (p^0, \vec{k})$ with $p^2 = k^2 - (p^0)^2$, the helicity operator along $\vec{k}$ is

$$\mathcal{S} \ \equiv \ \frac{k^i}{k}J_i = \frac{k^i}{k}(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Sigma}_i + \Sigma_i) \ , \tag{B.4}$$

where $k = \sqrt{k^2}$

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_i = (S^{23}, S^{31}, S^{12}) \tag{B.5}$$

$$\Sigma_i = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^i \end{pmatrix} = i\gamma^5\gamma^0\gamma_i \ . \tag{B.6}$$

Eigenvectors of the helicity operator in the vector space are the ϵ'_0 and $\epsilon_0, \epsilon_+, \epsilon_-$ corresponding to $j = 0, h = 0$ and $j = 1, h = 0, +1, -1$ respectively (with helicity eigenvalues labeled by h and those of J^2 by $j(j+1)$). They are easily obtained when $\vec{k} = (0, 0, k)$ as

$$\epsilon'^{\,\mu}_0 = \frac{p^\mu}{|p|} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \epsilon_0{}^\mu = (\frac{k}{|p|}, 0, 0, \frac{p^0}{|p|}) \tag{B.7}$$

$$\epsilon_+{}^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, -1, i, 0) \tag{B.8}$$

$$\epsilon_-{}^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 1, i, 0) \ , \tag{B.9}$$

where we have taken $|p| = \sqrt{-p^2}$. Also note that they are normalised by : $\epsilon'^{\,*\mu}_0\epsilon'_{0\mu} = -1$ and $\epsilon^*_{0,+,-}{}^\mu\epsilon_{0,+,-\mu} = 1$. For a general $\vec{k}$ obtained by rotating with θ around the y axis and $-\phi$ around the z axis

$$p^\mu = \big(p^0, \ k\cos\phi\sin\theta, \ k\sin\phi\sin\theta, \ k\cos\theta\big) \ , \tag{B.10}$$

helicity eigenvectors are given by[1]:

$$\begin{aligned}
{\epsilon'_0}^\mu &= \frac{p^\mu}{|p|} \\
{\epsilon_+}^\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,(0, -\cos\theta\cos\phi - i\sin\phi, -\cos\theta\sin\phi + i\cos\phi, \sin\theta) \\
{\epsilon_-}^\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,(0, \cos\theta\cos\phi - i\sin\phi, \cos\theta\sin\phi + i\cos\phi, -\sin\theta) \\
{\epsilon_0}^\mu &= \left(\frac{k}{|p|}, \frac{p^0}{|p|}\frac{\vec{k}}{k}\right) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.11}$$

Finally, the spinor-vector representation of the Lorentz group (written as representation of $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ for a left-handed Weyl spinor) can be decomposed into spin representations as

$$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes (\frac{1}{2}, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \oplus (1 \otimes \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{3}{2} \ , \tag{B.12}$$

In term of states, we can therefore decompose $|\psi\rangle$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi\rangle =& a_1 \left|\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle' + \tilde{a}_1 \left|\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle' + a_2 \left|\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle + \tilde{a}_2 \left|\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle \\
&+ a_3 \left|\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle + \tilde{a}_3 \left|\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle + a_4 \left|\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right\rangle + \tilde{a}_4 \left|\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}\right\rangle \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.13}$$

where the prime notes the first spin-1/2 representation. Using the Clebsch-Gordon decomposition this last expression gives (2.9).

If we boost from the fluid rest frame to any frame, the eigenvector ϵ_0 becomes:

$${\epsilon_0}^\mu = \frac{k}{|p|} u^\mu + \frac{q}{|p|k} k^\mu \ , \tag{B.14}$$

where we have $q^\mu = \mathbf{t}^{\mu\nu} p_\nu$ and $k^\mu = \mathbf{r}^{\mu\nu} p_\nu$ with $q = \sqrt{-q^2}$. The form of ϵ_+ and ϵ_- is complex in general, but we still have the property: $u_\mu \epsilon_\pm^\mu = 0$ since $u_\mu \epsilon_\pm^\mu$ is a Lorentz invariant.

C Computation of the covariant propagator

We assume the approximation (1.4) so that we can use plane wave solutions of momentum p^μ.

In making calculations, it is helpful to use the commutations or anti-commutations properties of the operators π_i with $\not{k}$, $\not{p}$ and $\not{q}$ which are (omitting the Lorentz index for clarity) given by:

$$\pi_1 \not{k} = \not{k} \pi_1 \qquad \pi_1 \not{q} = \not{q} \pi_1 \tag{C.1}$$

$$\pi_2 \not{k} = -\not{k} \pi_2 \qquad \pi_2 \not{q} = -\not{q} \pi_2 \tag{C.2}$$

$$\pi_3 \not{k} = -\not{k} \pi_3 \qquad \pi_3 \not{q} = -\not{q} \pi_3 \ . \tag{C.3}$$

[1]Since we have chosen the opposite signature from [12] and [13], helicity +1 and -1 eigenvectors are inverse compared to those of these authors.

	$\mathcal{P}_{11}$	$\mathcal{P}_{12}$	$\mathcal{P}_{13}$	$\mathcal{P}_{21}$	$\mathcal{P}_{22}$	$\mathcal{P}_{23}$	$\mathcal{P}_{31}$	$\mathcal{P}_{32}$	$\mathcal{P}_{33}$
$\mathcal{P}_{11}$	$\mathcal{P}_{11}$	$\mathcal{P}_{12}$	$\mathcal{P}_{13}$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathcal{P}_{21}$	$\mathcal{P}_{21}$	$\mathcal{P}_{22}/(\pi_1^2\pi_2^2)$	$\mathcal{P}_{23}/(\pi_1^2)$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathcal{P}_{31}$	$\mathcal{P}_{31}$	$\mathcal{P}_{32}/(\pi_1^2)$	$\mathcal{P}_{33}/(\pi_1^2\pi_2^2)$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathcal{P}_{12}$	0	0	0	$\mathcal{P}_{11}/(\pi_1^2\pi_2^2)$	$\mathcal{P}_{12}$	$\mathcal{P}_{13}/(\pi_2^2)$	0	0	0
$\mathcal{P}_{22}$	0	0	0	$\mathcal{P}_{21}$	$\mathcal{P}_{22}$	$\mathcal{P}_{23}$	0	0	0
$\mathcal{P}_{32}$	0	0	0	$\mathcal{P}_{31}/(\pi_2^2)$	$\mathcal{P}_{32}$	$\mathcal{P}_{33}/(\pi_1^2\pi_2^2)$	0	0	0
$\mathcal{P}_{13}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\mathcal{P}_{11}/(\pi_1^2\pi_3^2)$	$\mathcal{P}_{12}/(\pi_3^2)$	$\mathcal{P}_{13}$
$\mathcal{P}_{23}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\mathcal{P}_{21}/(\pi_3^2)$	$\mathcal{P}_{22}/(\pi_3^2\pi_2^2)$	$\mathcal{P}_{23}$
$\mathcal{P}_{33}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\mathcal{P}_{31}$	$\mathcal{P}_{32}$	$\mathcal{P}_{33}$

Table 1: Contraction rules for the nine projectors $\mathcal{P}_{i,j}$, the extra-factors of π_i^2 comes from the normalisation of the nilpotent operators.

The contraction rules of this set of projectors is straightforward and can be summarised in Table 1. We supplemented this set of projector by $\mathcal{P}_{3/2}$ defined such that:

$$\mathcal{P}_{3/2}^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{P}_{33}^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{P}_{22}^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} \ . \tag{C.4}$$

We use the identities

$$p^\mu = \pi_1^\mu \qquad\qquad \gamma^\mu = \frac{\not{p}}{p^2}\pi_1^\mu - \frac{\not{p}}{k^2}\pi_2^\mu + \pi_3^\mu \tag{C.5}$$

$$k^\mu = \frac{k^2}{p^2}\pi_1^\mu + \frac{\not{k}\not{q}}{k^2}\pi_2^\mu \qquad\qquad r^\mu = \frac{\not{k}}{p^2}\pi_1^\mu - \frac{\not{q}}{k^2}\pi_2^\mu + \pi_3^\mu \tag{C.6}$$

$$q^\mu = \frac{q^2}{p^2}\pi_1^\mu - \frac{\not{k}\not{q}}{k^2}\pi_2^\mu \qquad\qquad t^\mu = \frac{\not{q}}{p^2}\pi_1^\mu - \frac{\not{k}}{k^2}\pi_2^\mu \ , \tag{C.7}$$

and a bit algebra to express $K^{\mu\nu}$ as a function of the $\mathcal{P}_{ij}^{\mu\nu}$. We can write

$$K^{\mu\nu} = (\not{p} + m)(\mathcal{P}_{3/2}^{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{P}_{33}^{\mu\nu}) - U(\mathcal{P}_{13}^{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{P}_{31}^{\mu\nu}) + V(\mathcal{P}_{23}^{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{P}_{32}^{\mu\nu}) - W(\mathcal{P}_{12}^{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{P}_{21}^{\mu\nu}) \ , \tag{C.8}$$

with

$$W = nk^2 \tag{C.9}$$

$$U = 2(\not{k}m + \not{q}n) \tag{C.10}$$

$$V = 2\frac{k^2}{p^2}(p^2 - m\not{q} - n\not{k}) \ . \tag{C.11}$$

The second calculation trick is to define a conjugation relation by

$$\overline{A} = \overline{A_1 + \not{k}A_2 + \not{q}A_3} = A_1 - \not{k}A_2 - \not{q}A_3 \ , \tag{C.12}$$

this operation satisfies all the usual properties of conjugation $\overline{AB} = \overline{A}\ \overline{B}$, $\overline{A+B} = \overline{A} + \overline{B}$, and we have also

$$|A|^2 = A\overline{A} = a_1^2 + k^2 a_2^2 + q^2 a_3^3 \ , \tag{C.13}$$

which enables us to obtain the inverse as

$$A^{-1} = \frac{\overline{A}}{A\overline{A}} = \frac{A_1 - \not{k} A_2 - \not{q} A_3}{a_1^2 + k^2 a_2^2 + q^2 a_3^3} \ . \tag{C.14}$$

This formula uses the assumption that all parameters a_i are scalars. If A is such that $a_1 = a_1' + \not{k}\not{q} a_1''$ the previous formula makes little sense. However, it is always possible in this case to factorise $A = A_1 A_2$ where A_1 and A_2 have only scalar coefficients.[2]

A crucial observation is that thanks to the relations (C.1), the (anti)commutation relations between the operators π_i and A are

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^\mu A &= A \pi_1^\mu \\ \pi_2^\mu A &= \overline{A} \pi_2^\mu \\ \pi_3^\mu A &= \overline{A} \pi_3^\mu \ , \end{aligned} \tag{C.15}$$

which allows to make all calculations using the A form without decomposing it in $\not{k}$ or $\not{q}$ parts. The decomposition of the propagator on the projectors basis can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\mu\nu} = \frac{m - \not{p}}{m^2 + p^2} \mathcal{P}_{3/2}^{\mu\nu} + A\ \mathcal{P}_{11}^{\mu\nu} + B\ \mathcal{P}_{22}^{\mu\nu} + C\ \mathcal{P}_{33}^{\mu\nu} + D\ \mathcal{P}_{13}^{\mu\nu} + D'\ \mathcal{P}_{31}^{\mu\nu} \\ + E\ \mathcal{P}_{23}^{\mu\nu} + E'\ \mathcal{P}_{32}^{\mu\nu} + F\ \mathcal{P}_{12}^{\mu\nu} + F'\ \mathcal{P}_{21}^{\mu\nu} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.16}$$

The propagator satisfies

$$K_{\mu\rho} G^{\rho\nu} = \eta_\mu{}^\nu \ . \tag{C.17}$$

Expanded it in the projectors basis leads to a system of nine equations

$$\begin{aligned} (33) \quad & -(\not{p} + m) C - (\pi_2 \pi_3)^{-2} V E + (\pi_1 \pi_3)^{-2} U \overline{D} = 1 \\ (32) \quad & -(\not{p} + m) E' - (\pi_1)^{-2} U \overline{F} - V B = 0 \\ (31) \quad & -(\not{p} + m) D' - (\pi_2)^{-2} V F' + U \overline{A} = 0 \\ (23) \quad & (\pi_1)^{-2} W \overline{D} + V C = 0 \\ (22) \quad & (\pi_2 \pi_3)^{-2} V E' + (\pi_1 \pi_2)^{-2} W \overline{F} = 1 \\ (21) \quad & (\pi_3)^{-2} V D' + W \overline{A} = 0 \\ (13) \quad & -(\pi_2)^{-2} W \overline{E} - U \overline{C} = 0 \\ (12) \quad & -(\pi_3)^{-2} U \overline{E}' - W \overline{B} = 0 \\ (11) \quad & -(\pi_1 \pi_3)^{-2} U \overline{D}' - (\pi_1 \pi_2)^{-2} W \overline{F}' = 1 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.18}$$

We make the assumption that U, V and W contains no terms in $\not{k}\not{q}$ (if it was not the case, one should first factorised it, then inverse both terms using (C.14) and do the same to inverse X). We define the quantity X by

$$X = -(\not{p} + m) + \frac{1}{\pi^3 W} (V W \overline{U} - U \overline{W} V) \ . \tag{C.19}$$

[2] If we had $C = c_1 + c_1' \not{k}\not{q} + c_2 \not{k} + c_3 \not{q}$, one can easily check that $C = (c_3 + c_1' \not{k} + (\frac{c_2 c_3}{c_1'} - c_1) \frac{1}{q^2} \not{q})(\frac{c_2}{c_1'} + \not{q})$ is such a decomposition.

This expression has no terms in $\not{k}\not{q}$. Indeed, if A and B do not have $\not{k}\not{q}$ terms, then it is easily seen that $AB + BA$ also does not have $\not{k}\not{q}$ terms and that $AB + \overline{BA}$ is a pure scalar using the product formula

$$(a_1 + a_2\not{k} + a_3\not{q})(b_1 + b_2\not{k} + b_3\not{q}) = (a_1b_1 - a_2b_2k^2 - a_3b_3q^2) + (a_2b_1 + a_1b_2)\not{k} + (a_3b_1 + a_1b_3)\not{q} + (a_2b_3 - b_2a_3)\not{k}\not{q} \ . \tag{C.20}$$

Since we can write

$$VW\overline{U} - U\overline{W}V = V(W\overline{U}) + (W\overline{U})V - (W\overline{U} + U\overline{W})V \ , \tag{C.21}$$

we conclude that one can apply the formula (C.14) on X and solve the system of equations as

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \frac{\pi_1^2}{\pi_3^2}\frac{W}{|W|^2}\overline{V}\frac{X}{|X|^2}\overline{V}\frac{\overline{W}}{|W|^2} \\
B &= \frac{\pi_2^2}{\pi_3^2}\frac{W}{|W|^2}\overline{U}\frac{\overline{X}}{|X|^2}U\frac{\overline{W}}{|W|^2} \\
C &= \frac{\overline{X}}{|X|^2} \\
D &= -\pi_1^2\frac{W}{|W|^2}\overline{V}\frac{X}{|X|^2} & D' &= -\pi_1^2\frac{\overline{X}}{|X|^2}V\frac{W}{|W|^2} \\
E &= -\pi_2^2\frac{W}{|W|^2}\overline{U}\frac{\overline{X}}{|X|^2} & E' &= -\pi_2^2\frac{\overline{X}}{|X|^2}U\frac{\overline{W}}{|W|^2} \\
F &= \pi_1^2\pi_2^2(\frac{W}{|W|^2} + \frac{1}{\pi_3^2}\frac{W}{|W|^2}\overline{V}\frac{X}{|X|^2}\overline{U}\frac{W}{|W|^2}) \\
F' &= \pi_1^2\pi_2^2(-\frac{W}{|W|^2} + \frac{1}{\pi_3^2}\frac{W}{|W|^2}\overline{U}\frac{\overline{X}}{|X|^2}V\frac{W}{|W|^2})
\end{aligned} \tag{C.22}$$

Replacing these expression in the propagator, we can in fact factorise most of these terms and obtain:

$$G^{\mu\nu} = \frac{m - \not{p}}{p^2 + m^2}\mathcal{P}_{3/2}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\pi_3^2}\left[\frac{W}{|W|^2}\overline{V}\pi_1^\mu + \frac{W}{|W|^2}\overline{U}\pi_2^\mu - \pi_3^\mu\right]\frac{X}{|X|^2}\left[\overline{V}\frac{\overline{W}}{|W|^2}\pi_1^\nu + \overline{U}\frac{W}{|W|^2}\pi_2^\nu - \pi_3^\nu\right] + \frac{W}{|W|^2}(\pi_1^\mu\pi_2^\nu - \pi_2^\mu\pi_1^\nu) \ . \tag{C.23}$$

If we replace U, V and W by their expression in our case we observe first that X simplifies in

$$X = 3(m - w\not{k} + \not{q}) \ , \tag{C.24}$$

which indeed does not include $\not{k}\not{q}$ terms. Replacing in the propagator, we recover the expression used previously

$$G^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\Pi_{3/2}^{\mu\nu}}{p^2 + m^2} + \frac{\Pi_{1/2}^{\mu\nu}}{m^2 + w^2k^2 + q^2} + \frac{3}{4}\epsilon_{\text{LV}}\frac{\not{k}}{mk^2}(t^\mu p^\nu - p^\mu t^\nu) \ . \tag{C.25}$$

where the two polarisations can be written

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{3/2} = (m - \not{p})\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{3/2} \tag{C.26}$$

and

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{1/2} = -\frac{2}{3}\ \Lambda^{\mu}\ (\not{p} + m - \epsilon_{\rm LV}\not{k})\ \Lambda^{\nu}\ . \tag{C.27}$$

where

$$\Lambda^{\mu} = \gamma^{\mu} + \frac{p^{\mu}}{n} - \frac{3}{2}(r^{\mu} - \frac{\not{k}k^{\mu}}{k^2}) - \frac{3}{4}\epsilon_{\rm LV}t^{\mu}\ . \tag{C.28}$$

We can relate $\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{3/2}$ to the Rarita-Schwinger polarisation tensor $\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{RS}$ defined as

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{RS} = (m - \not{p})[\eta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu} + 2\frac{p^{\mu}p^{\nu}}{3m^2} + \frac{\gamma^{\mu}p^{\nu} - \gamma^{\nu}p^{\mu}}{3m}]\ , \tag{C.29}$$

by

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{3/2} = \Pi^{\mu\nu}_{RS} + \frac{2}{3}[\Lambda^{\mu} + \frac{3}{4}\epsilon_{\rm LV}(t^{\mu} + \frac{p^{\mu}}{m})](\not{p} + m)[\Lambda^{\nu} + \frac{3}{4}\epsilon_{\rm LV}(t^{\nu} + \frac{p^{\nu}}{m})]\ . \tag{C.30}$$

This expression makes explicit the fact that our propagator reduces to the usual Rarita-Schwinger result when $\epsilon_{\rm LV} = 0$. It is remarkable that $\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{3/2}$ is directly related to $\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{3/2}$ since this implies that the helicity-3/2 components have a pole structure of a relativistic particle corresponding to their origins as the gravitino. Similarly, albeit the expression of $\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{1/2}$ looks different from $P^{\mu\nu}_{1/2}$, an explicit calculation using constraint (1.10) shows that we have :

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{1/2}\psi_{\nu} = \Pi^{\mu\nu}_{1/2}\psi_{{1/2}_{\nu}}\ . \tag{C.31}$$

Therefore, the helicity-1/2 part of the propagator projects naturally on the correct helicity-1/2 degrees of freedom, which means that they have the pole structure of a spin-1/2 pseudo-particle of velocity w.

References

[1] P. Fayet and J. Iliopoulos, Phys. Lett. B **51** (1974) 461; D. V. Volkov and V. A. Soroka, JETP Lett. **18** (1973) 312 [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **18** (1973) 529]; P. Fayet, Phys. Lett. B **70** (1977) 461; E. Cremmer, B. Julia, J. Scherk, S. Ferrara, L. Girardello and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Nucl. Phys. B **147** (1979) 105.

[2] S. Deser and B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 1433.

[3] K. Benakli, Y. Oz and G. Policastro, JHEP **1402** (2014) 015 [arXiv:1310.5002 [hep-th]].

[4] D. Boyanovsky, Phys. Rev. D **29** (1984) 743; R. G. Leigh and R. Rattazzi, Phys. Lett. B **352**, 20 (1995) [hep-ph/9503402]; K. Kratzert, Annals Phys. **308**, 285 (2003) [hep-th/0303260]; DESY-THESIS-2002-045; P. Kovtun and L. G. Yaffe, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 025007 (2003) [hep-th/0303010].

[5] C. Hoyos, B. Keren-Zur and Y. Oz, JHEP **1211**, 152 (2012) [arXiv:1206.2958 [hep-ph]].

[6] R. Casalbuoni, S. De Curtis, D. Dominici, F. Feruglio and R. Gatto, Phys. Rev. D **39** (1989) 2281; Phys. Lett. B **215** (1988) 313.

[7] W. Rarita and J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **60** (1941) 61.

[8] P. Van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rept. **68** (1981) 189.

[9] S. Deser, V. Pascalutsa and A. Waldron, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 105031 [hep-th/0003011].

[10] R. Kallosh, L. Kofman, A. D. Linde and A. Van Proeyen, Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 103503 [hep-th/9907124]; G. F. Giudice, I. Tkachev and A. Riotto, JHEP **9908** (1999) 009 [hep-ph/9907510]; G. F. Giudice, A. Riotto and I. Tkachev, JHEP **9911** (1999) 036 [hep-ph/9911302]; R. Kallosh, L. Kofman, A. D. Linde and A. Van Proeyen, Class. Quant. Grav. **17** (2000) 4269 [Erratum-ibid. **21** (2004) 5017] [hep-th/0006179].

[11] S. R. Coleman and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. D **59** (1999) 116008 [hep-ph/9812418].

[12] P. R. Auvil and J. J. Brehm. Phys. Rev. **145** (1966) 1152.

[13] T. Moroi, hep-ph/9503210.